IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2723 OF 2003.

PETITIONERS : 1) Ravi Badgaiyan, son of Vishwanath Badgaiyan, aged about 39 years, working as Constable, (Telecom).

2) Surya Pratap Singh, son of Vikram Singh, aged about 42 yrs. working as Constable, (Telecom).

3) Rajendra Prasad Tyagi, son of Harvilash Tyagi, aged about 41 years, working as Constable (Telecom).

All the petitioners are presently posted in the Office of Superintendent of Police, Telecom (Radio), Bilaspur (C.G.)

P. R. No. AS 66/03
Presented by Shri Nihal Das Shukla
dated 26.06.03

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1) Union of India, through The Secretary, Department of Personnel/Public Grievances and Pension, Third Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, New Delhi.

2) State of Madhya Pradesh, through, the Secretary, Department of Home Affairs, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, (M.P.)

3) State of Chhattisgarh, through The Secretary, Department of Home Affairs Mantralaya, D.K.S., Building, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY.
28/8/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

Copy Received
28/8/03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1. Particulars of the Petitioners :

As per cause title.

contd...2...

(95)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Writ Petition No. 2723 of 2003

Petitioners — Ravi Badgaiyan and two others

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

Shri Rajendra Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondent No. 2.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for the respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(8th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are working in the office of the Superintendent of Police, Telecom (Radio), Bilaspur. They are permanent resident of the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioners have been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and they want their reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instruction, submits that the petitioners be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of their grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioners to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur